UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NEW YORKERS FOR RELIGIOUS

LIBERTY, INC., et al., : Plaintiff, : 22-CV-752 (DG) (VMS)

: June 15, 2022

V. : Brooklyn, New York

CITY OF NEW YORK, et al., :

Defendant.

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE VERA M. SCANLON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: SUJATA GIBSON, ESQ.

Gibson Law Firm PLLC

832 Hanshaw Road, Suite A

Ithaca, NY 14850

For the Defendant: BILAL HAIDER, ESQ.

> NYC Law Department 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007

SHI-SHI WANG, ESQ.

Assistant Attorney General 28 Liberty Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10005

Court Transcriber: ARIA SERVICES, INC.

c/o Elizabeth Barron

274 Hovey Road Milo, ME 04463 Aria@leinen.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           This is 22-CV-752, New Yorkers
 2
    for Religious Liberty, Inc. v. City of New York.
               Let's start with the appearances and who is
 3
    on this call. Let's start with the plaintiff.
 4
 5
               MS. GIBSON: Your Honor, this is Sujata
 6
    Gibson, and I'm here with Barry Black. We're appearing
 7
    for the plaintiffs.
 8
               MR. BLACK:
                           Good morning, your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT:
                          Hello.
10
               All right, and then who else is on?
11
               MR. HAIDER: Good morning, your Honor.
12
    Bilal Haider on behalf of the corporation counsel for
    the city defendants. Along with me is Laura Minicucci.
13
14
               THE COURT: Okay, so --
15
                          Sorry. Also here is Shi-Shi
               MS. WANG:
16
    Wang, Assistant Attorney General, appearing for the
17
    state defendant, Commissioner Roberta Reardon of the
18
    New York State Department of Labor.
19
                           Okay. I think that gives us
               THE COURT:
20
    eight.
            Who else is on the line? There may be interns.
2.1
    Are there any parties on the line?
22
               MS. GIBSON: Not that I'm aware of, your
23
    Honor.
            This is Sujata Gibson.
24
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
25
               THE CLERK:
                           Yes, Judge. I asked -- there
```

were some parties that were on the line when the call initially started. I asked them to place themselves on mute.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. This is a conference to talk about a settlement process but since I don't know everybody who's on the line, just as a caution for the lawyers and anybody else who is speaking -- it's up to you but you may not want to talk about your particular positions. We'll stay on the record for now.

We're having this call for two reasons. One is to talk about the settlement process and see if there's a schedule that could work that's based on the district judge's request that we have this. Also, Judge Gujarati asked that we touch base about the briefing. Let's talk about the settlement process first and then the briefing process towards the end.

These are general questions. I'm not asking anybody for their particular position on the record, but have you had settlement discussions or are we starting at the beginning of this process, plaintiffs and defendants? And the state -- obviously, you can be heard but I think the bigger part of this involves the city. So let's go plaintiffs, defendants for the city, and then the state.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MS. GIBSON: Your Honor, we have not -- this
is Sujata Gibson. We have not formally engaged in
settlement discussions, although counsel for the city
defendants had indicated that they didn't think that
mediation would be fruitful or a settlement available.
           THE COURT: Well, you're here from the
district judge's instruction.
           For the city, have you had any
conversations?
          MR. HAIDER: Your Honor, Bilal Haider.
                                                   No,
we have not had any discussions other than me
referencing in an email that I don't believe that
mediation would be fruitful here. I also expressed
that in a conversation with Jonathan Nelson. I'm not
sure what there is necessarily to mediate in this
matter.
           THE COURT: Well, I can imagine a lot of
things, but we'll see where we get. I think some of
this -- as the litigation has been going on for a
little while, there may be circumstances that evolve
over the course of the case.
          And for the state, anything?
          MS. WANG: Hi, your Honor. No, I have not
spoken with plaintiffs regarding settlement.
actually wasn't entirely sure if the district court's
```

```
_
```

```
1
    order was directed at the state defendant. I'm still
 2
    unsure.
 3
               THE COURT: Yes, in that a fulsome
    resolution of this would include the state, but I
 4
 5
    probably should have started out -- I think it's
 6
    probably more about the city than the state. There are
 7
    a couple of ways to do this. We can have a brief
 8
    conversation before we set more of a schedule. Let's
 9
    do that. I'm going to stop the recording and --
10
               George, do you know how to do the breakout
11
    rooms?
12
               THE CLERK:
                            Sorry, Judge, I'm not aware of
13
    how to break out the rooms. Hang on a moment.
14
               THE COURT: I'm going to put you on hold for
15
    a second.
16
               (Pause in proceedings.)
17
               THE COURT: My law clerk is going to help
18
    have breakout rooms but it's going to be the plaintiff
19
    in one, the city counsel -- counsel for the city in
20
    another, and then the state in another. Anybody else
2.1
    who is on the phone, you'll be left in the main room.
22
               (Pause in proceedings.)
23
               THE COURT: You're going to file the amended
24
    complaint on the 17<sup>th</sup>?
25
               MS. GIBSON: Yes, Judge.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Then what are you proposing? MS. GIBSON: We'd like to then file the consolidated briefing on the preliminary injunction motion on the  $22^{nd}$  with a response due on the  $29^{th}$  and a reply on the  $6^{th}$ . THE COURT: Are you including the state in the preliminary injunction now? We are now including the state, MS. GIBSON: your Honor. When we originally filed on February 14th, the same day we filed the complaint, we didn't yet have denials or had not yet found a written policy of the state that we believe is unconstitutional. But now that those are happening in what we think is an unconstitutional way, we will be seeking an injunction also against denials of unemployment and that policy. THE COURT: All right, so is there going to be a request for discovery in relation to any of the state policies? MS. GIBSON: Not for purposes of the preliminary injunction motion. I think it's pretty straightforward, and we're just looking for urgent, temporary relief. And then of course, we'll want to discovery to advance the case if we can't settle. THE COURT: But is this basically -- this is largely the same motion that you made before.

time we actually need until we see this new preliminary

injunction and also the amended complaint on that end.

I would just note that that one-week time is not

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sufficient for us given our current schedules and also some scheduled vacations that are approaching, with the holidays approaching as well. Is your Honor inclined to put it on for such a short time? I'm not sure how your Honor is viewing this at this stage, and I'm not sure what the state's position is, either. THE COURT: I don't know if the state could be on that same schedule. If this is the same motion and this is the briefing, you tell me what is doable because I mean, all of these expenses -- a lot of this happened with Judge Gujarati so, you know, I'm just reading the docket. There were many extensions based on the plaintiffs' desire for discovery, which was accommodated, so the immediacy does not seem to be here in the same way that a regular -- a preliminary injunction coming through the door would be able to say that you had such an immediate need. So you tell me what you can do in terms of the briefing and then we'll go from there. MR. HAIDER: Go ahead. This is the state. My view is MS. WANG: that I just found out thirty minutes before today's call that the plaintiffs are seeking a preliminary injunction against the state. I mean, it would be good

to see the amended complaint -- I agree with the city.

1 It would be good to see the amended complaint on the 17th, see the consolidated preliminary injunction on the 2  $22^{\text{nd}}$ . And then if we could, after seeing that, set a 3 briefing schedule. 4 5 THE COURT: All right, that's fine. 6 MS. GIBSON: Judge, I would just like to 7 briefly weigh in. All of the allegations against the state were in a letter on May 17<sup>th</sup> explaining exactly 8 9 what we're putting in that isn't in the complaint. 10 This is not new information and it is immediate. The 11 reason that we had to seek discovery and delay this 12 very urgent process with -- thousands of people are becoming homeless each day and getting denied each day 13 14 -- is because the Second Circuit, in denying the recent 15 relief the second time around for King v. DeBlasio, 16 their critic was they wanted to see some discovery. 17 we felt we had to do that pursuant to the court because 18 then they said, you rushed through. I mean, to be 19 penalized as this isn't immediate because we're doing 20 what the Second Circuit asked us to do, I would hope 2.1 would not happen. 22 MR. HAIDER: Your Honor, Bilal Haider 23

MR. HAIDER: Your Honor, Bilal Haider speaking. That is a separate litigation and there's been multiple preliminary injunctions filed on that other case since.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT:
                       This is what we're going to do.
You're going to get the amended complaint and you'll
get the preliminary injunction next week, and you can
propose the schedule. You can put the letter in to me
and you can also put Judge Gujarati since it will
affect her schedule. It may be that, plaintiff, you
decided to litigate it the way you decided to litigate
it. But the reality is in order to accommodate your
schedule and what you needed to do, several months have
passed. We see what the release is and see what
counsel can do in terms of their schedule, noting this
is happening around two holidays, so you can do what
you can do.
           On the defendants' side, when would you want
to put in your proposal, on the 23^{rd} or the 24^{th}?
           MR. HAIDER: Yes, your Honor, so long as
we've had time to review it, yeah. We would just need
the following day to review the papers.
                     Yes, the 24^{th} is great.
           MS. WANG:
           THE COURT:
                      All right.
          MS. GIBSON: Judge, just for clarification,
I'm sorry. The proposal for settlement, are we going
back to the mediation proposal or are you doing
something different?
           THE COURT: Let's just finish this part.
                                                     So
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
we're going to get a letter from the defendants with
your proposed schedule. You heard what the plaintiffs
think would work and we'll see where it is then.
          MS. GIBSON: Oh, for a briefing schedule.
           THE COURT: I asked (ui) schedule. I think
that counsel's opinion is that they would be better
able to provide the proposal with regard to the
briefing after they've seem the submissions. I think
the point being if it's largely the same, it won't take
as long. If it's adding a lot of information, changing
the arguments, expanding the argument, whatever, then
that will take ostensibly more time. Can we say the
24th by noon, you'll put in the letter with the
defendants' proposed schedule? Does that work?
          MS. WANG: Yes.
          MR. HAIDER: Yes, your Honor, that works for
city defendants.
           THE COURT:
                      Okay. So back to the
possibility of having settlement discussions. Is there
a time on the 27^{th} where I could touch base with
counsel, with plaintiffs' counsel and with defendants'
counsel? We could do in the morning, like between
10:00 and 11:00 or in the afternoon, between 4:00 and
5:00.
          MS. GIBSON: Sorry, Judge, I'm just trying
```

```
to pull up my co-counsel's letter. Was the 27th one of
 1
 2
    the two days?
               THE COURT: This is a conversation with
 3
    counsel. This is just a call with me and plaintiffs'
 4
 5
    counsel for you to tell me the broad strokes of what
 6
    you would be looking for in settlement, and I will
    convey that to defendants' counsel. Obviously, you're
 7
 8
    free to tell them the proposal, too, but I'll touch
 9
    base with them. Their rough implication was that they
10
    could then let us know, you know, will they be able to
11
    put together a counterproposal or we can't move this
12
    forward, or whatever it is on the 8^{th}. I think we can
13
    reconvene counsel sometime in the week of the 11th.
14
               MS. GIBSON: So this is just plaintiffs'
15
    counsel with your Honor?
16
               THE COURT: Yes, that's what I was looking
17
    for as the first thing, and then defendants' counsel --
18
    we can talk after I've talked to plaintiffs' counsel.
19
    It would be easier if we can talk in the morning but I
20
    don't know what your --
21
               MS. GIBSON: I'm just pulling up my co-
22
    counsel's schedule, just one second. I think any time
    on the 27^{th} is fine.
23
24
               THE COURT: Okay. How about 10:00?
25
               MS. GIBSON: That works, your Honor, thank
```

```
1
    you.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. And then,
 3
    defendants' counsel, are you available later that day,
    maybe at 4:00?
 4
 5
               MR. HAIDER: Can we do it any time earlier?
    If not, 4:00 is okay.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I have a full schedule, sorry.
 8
    We could do Tuesday at 12:15 probably.
 9
               MR. HAIDER: Yes, that works.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. So the state, you can
11
    join.
           We'll use the same number.
12
               MS. WANG:
                          Okay.
13
               THE COURT: Then just so we have it -- we'll
14
    figure out with defendants exactly when is a good time
15
    to touch base again when we talk next week, but then
16
    let's just put a date in. How about 9:30 on the 14^{th}?
17
    Does that work?
18
               MR. HAIDER: This would be a tentative
19
    mediation date, your Honor?
20
               THE COURT: It would just be I think counsel
2.1
    checking in and seeing if we can move forward.
22
               MR. HAIDER: That works for city defendants.
23
               MS. GIBSON: I'm just looking at this. July
    14<sup>th</sup> did you say, your Honor?
24
25
               THE COURT:
                            Yes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
MS. GIBSON: I'm sorry, I can't -- the only
dates I know about are July 11th and 12th that week, but
I know that co-counsel will have to be part of that and
I vaguely remember they might have a hearing on the
14<sup>th</sup>.
           THE COURT: Both of them?
           MS. GIBSON: Yes, or maybe a trial. I think
they had something but I don't know for sure. I could
respond -- I could just check in with them.
           THE COURT: What about the 12<sup>th</sup> at 9:30?
           MS. GIBSON: I believe the 12th is available
for them.
           MR. HAIDER: It works for city defendants.
           THE COURT: Okay.
           MS. WANG: It works for the state as well.
           THE COURT: Okay, all right. The dates
coming out of this are, the amended complaint is going
to be filed the 17^{th}, the updated briefing by the
plaintiffs the 22<sup>nd</sup>. We'll hear from the defendants on
the 24^{th} at noon with your proposed schedule and make a
decision. And then with regard to having settlement
suggestions, we'll hear -- I'll talk to plaintiffs'
counsel on the 27th at 10:00 and defendants' counsel on
the 28^{th} at 12:15. You're free to talk to each other
directly. This is just to make sure this is moving
```

```
1
    forward and there's a process so that we get it going.
 2
    Then on 7/12 at 9:30, a call to -- if it can move
 3
    forward, to see what can happen.
 4
               All right, anything else we should talk
5
    about?
               MS. GIBSON: No, Judge, thank you.
 6
 7
               MR. HAIDER: Nothing further from city
8
    defendants.
9
               MS. WANG: Nothing further from state.
               THE COURT: All right, thanks everybody,
10
11
    take care.
12
               MS. GIBSON: Thank you.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
19	transcript from the electronic sound recording of the
20	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21	
22	
23	I'm I
24	
25	ELIZABETH BARRON August 16, 2022